Adaptive Capacity to Climate Change in an Eastern Caribbean Country

Whittaker, Steve D.¹, Bell, Michelle L.², Deziel, Nicole. C.³, Naraine, Leighton ⁴, Edwards, Quincy. A.⁴ ¹ Yale University, ² Yale School of Forestry & Environmental Studies, ³ Yale School of Public Health, ⁴Clarence Fitzroy Bryant College



KEY POINTS:

• CATEGORY-SPECIFIC ADAPTIVE CAPACITY (SCORES)

- provide value for comparison between respondents
- offer opportunity to tailor resilience building
- Might have critical relevance for human health
- CARIBBEAN NATIONS ARE UNIQUELY AT RISK IN BEING
 - disproportionately made to bear health and safety burdens of intensifying climate change impacts, despite being responsible for less than 1% of global GHG emissions
 - insufficiently resourced in knowledge or action given hardto-overcome precarious circumstances

Introduction

Evidence of association between climate change impacts and human health continues to emerge worldwide^{1,2,3,4,}. Small island nations of the Eastern Caribbean (EC), despite contributing less than 1% to global GHG emissions, are perhaps most uniquely vulnerable to climate change and associated effects^{2,3,4,5,6}, such as drought-induced afflictions and storm-driven ailments. Adaptation or adaptive capacity refers to resilience-building behavioral, informational and circumstantial measures that aim to reduce health and safety risks potentially associated with climate change impacts This study aimed to identify adaptation activities individually and collectively among EC residents of St. Kitts-Nevis (SKN), evaluating links to health outcomes and deriving adaptive capacity scores conducive to assessment.



General Public Questionnaire Respondent

c. Circumstance (cACS)

b. Behavior (bACS)

d. Total (tACS)

Materials and Methods

Cross-sectional Survey: A 30 to 45 minute questionnaire was administered to 228 residents of SKN, ages 15 to 75 between 2015 and 2017. Questions sought to catalog, using various Likert and dichotomous scales, demographics (e.g. sex, age, race, and occupation), lifestyle factors (e.g. income), uptake of adaptation measures as they relate to health (e.g. increased fluid intake, reduced layers of clothing during hot days). The questionnaire also captured health outcomes which included self-reported presence or absence of specific diseases (e.g. chikungunya, zika, asthma, dehydration, diabetes) that may or may not be induced or exacerbated by climate change impacts.

Data Analysis: Adaptive Capacity Score (ACS): Survey responses were converted

to scores, each point on the various Likert and dichotomous scales uniquely assigned a positive, negative or zero value according to their contribution to adaptive capacity or vulnerability of the respondent. Scores were categorized as behavioral, knowledgebased or circumstantial. Factors that would significantly influence adaptive capacity were then determined using (a) Student t-tests and (b) ANOVA F-tests. *Health Outcomes*: Health status and safety profiles were evaluated categorically against (a) climate change perceptions as well as (b) the use of adaptive measures using (i) bivariate chi-square testing and (ii) logistic regression per a statistical stepwise model selection process. Significance was set at a 0.05 alpha level for all analyses.

Results

Almost 60% of respondents perceived hotter days while 1 in 4 reported increased vector-borne disease rates in their community. Most residents (65%) occupied homes sited close to higher risk areas: coastline, ghauts or base of a steep incline. Average knowledge, circumstance, behavior and total ACS were 57.97% (σ =27.48), 11.71% (σ =17.33), 44.5% (σ =24.97) and 32% (σ = 16.03) respectively (Figure 1). Age (p<0.0001), education level (p<0.001) and occupation (p<0.001) impacted some scores (Tables 1a and 1b). Odds risk of various diseases and deficits such as dehydration, vector-borne disease and mental health were significantly associated with a variety of adaptive capacity items and determinants (Figure 2a) in unadjusted (bivariate analysis) albeit less so after a selection of key factors were included in an adjusted multivariate model (Figure 2b). Behaviors and circumstances emerge as common elevators of risk for illness or deficit. In particular, proximity to low-lying zones was associated with higher risk of poor mental health (OR: 1.91; 95% CI: 1.05, 3.47; p = 0.035). Reduced time outdoors was linked to elevated risk of zika infection (OR: 3.42; 95% CI: 1.36, 8.62; p = 0.009).



Figure 1. Adaptive Capacity Score (%) per Questionnaire Responses Across Various Categories: (a) knowledge, (b) behavior, (c) circumstance and (d) total.

Table 1a Unadjuste	d Factor	rs Assoc	iated wit	h Perso	nal Adap	otive Cap	acity So	core per (General	Survey	Respons	es			
Key Factors (Unadjusted		<u>(%)</u>	<u>Total</u>			<u>Knowledge</u>			Behavior			Circumstance			
			<u>Coeff</u>	<u>t</u>	p	<u>Coeff</u>	<u>t</u>	р	<u>Coeff</u>	<u>t</u>	p	<u>Coeff</u>	<u>t</u>	p	
ge Group															
18 and over	158	(70.7)	10.37	3.82	0.000	10.68	6.29	<.0001	0.32	0.87	0.386	-0.63	-0.39	0.696	
ighest Education															
Masters or Higher	25	(10.9)	10.45	2.39	0.018	12.68	4.66	<.0001	1.15	1.98	0.049	-3.38	-1.30	0.195	
Associate/A-Level	64	(27.9)	10.88	3.34	0.001	10.05	4.95	<.0001	-0.09	-0.22	0.829	0.93	0.48	0.633	
Bachelors	46	(20.1)	10.85	3.04	0.003	11.02	4.96	<.0001	0.32	0.66	0.507	-0.49	-0.23	0.819	
Secondary	20	(8.7)	9.75	2.05	0.042	10.52	3.55	0.001				-0.78	-0.27	0.784	
occupation															
Student/Intern	104	(45.4)	-11.12	4.53	<.0001	-10.49	6.84	<.0001	-0.80	2.43	0.016	0.16	-0.11	0.913	
Teacher or Tutor	16	(7.0)	-7.92	1.59	0.113	-0.38	0.12	0.908	-0.15	0.23	0.815	-7.39	2.60	0.010	
Legislator/Director/Officer/Manager	20	(8.7)	7.14	-1.59	0.113	7.55	-2.58	0.011	1.26	-2.17	0.031	-1.66	0.64	0.523	
Clerk or Office Administrator	21	(9.2)	15.17	-3.53	0.001	9.76	-3.45	0.001	0.52	-0.91	0.362	4.89	-1.94	0.054	

Table 1b. Adjusted Factors Associated with Personal Adaptive Capacity Score per General Survey Responses

Discussion and Conclusion

Category-specific adaptive capacity scores have value in that they provide not only a means of comparison but an opportunity to initiate targeted resilience building for health. Findings reflect low capacity in SKN per deficiencies in disaster preparedness, post-crisis management, and other adaptation strategies. However, capacity is especially constrained by circumstance (situations and and systems). This has profound implications for environmental (and climate) justice, specifically that Caribbean nations that disproportionately bear health and safety burdens of intensifying climate change impacts, despite being responsible for less than 1% of global GHG emissions that drive climate change, are not sufficiently resourced in knowledge or opportunities to act as individuals to overcome precarious circumstances. Further research is warranted with regard to vulnerabilities and utility of this assessment tool in pursuit of environmental justice.

			<u>Total</u> (<u>R²=0.106</u>)		<u>Knowledge</u> <u>(R²=0.211)</u>			<u>Behavior</u> (R ² =0.049)			<u>Circumstance</u> (R ² =0.037)			
Key Factors (Adjusted)	<u>n</u>	<u>(%)</u>												
			<u>Coeff</u>	<u>t</u>	p	<u>Coeff</u>	<u>t</u>	p	<u>Coeff</u>	<u>t</u>	p	<u>Coeff</u>	<u>t</u>	p
ccupation														
Student/Intern	104	(45.4)	-7.02	1.85	0.066	-5.98	2.49	0.014	-1.002	2.01	0.045			
eacher or Tutor	16	(7.0)										-6.74	2.40	0.017
Clerk or Office Administrator	21	(9.2)	9.56	2.11	0.036	5.51	-1.94	0.054				3.64	-1.43	0.154

	(Jods Ratio (OR) and	95% CI					
Health	Determinant Factor	1		n	OR	LCL	UCL	pvalue
Asthma	Age: Minors ∨s. Adults			14	8.750	2.750	27.90	0.00
Asthma	Comfort Loss During Storm Events			9	0.190	0.060	0.650	0.01
Chikungunya	Info Source: School			44	2.530	1.250	5.130	0.01
Dehydration	Info Source: CBO		•	9	2.960	1.170	7.530	0.02
Dehydration	Info Source: Local Government		•	14	2.160	1.010	4.640	0.05
Dehydration	Salt Water Intrusion in Community			20	2.130	1.050	4.300	0.04
Dehydration	Proximity to Coast			27	2.320	1.130	4.770	0.02
Dehydration	Proximity to low-lying area			26	2.200	1.080	4.480	0.03
Dehydration	Decreased Rainfall			36	3.970	1.470	10.70	0.01
Dehydration	Rising Sea Level		•	24	2.050	1.020	4.130	0.04
Dehydration	Info Source: Work			14	3.190	1.440	7.040	0.00
Diet/Nutrition	Age: Minors vs. Adults			18	0.280	0.150	0.540	0.00
Diet/Nutrition	Student			33	0.420	0.230	0.740	0.00
Diet/Nutrition	Info source: Friend			18	2.320	1.220	4.440	0.01
Diet/Nutrition	Proximity to low-lying area			52	1.830	1.030	3.230	0.04
Diet/Nutrition	Freshwater shortages			18	2.760	1.140	6.700	0.02
Diet/Nutrition	Increased Storm Activity	1	_	41	2.970	1.580	5.580	0.00
Mental Health	Age: Minors vs. Adults			18	0.530	0.300	0.950	0.01
Mental Health	Student			30	0.530	0.300	0.950	0.03
Mental Health	Increased Storm Activity			33	2.030	1.100	3.760	0.02
Mental Health	Proximity to low-lying area			47	2.070	1.160	3.710	0.01
Physical Health	Age: Minors vs. Adults			26	0.480	0.260	0.880	0.02
Physical Health	Rising Sea Level			53	1.900	1.070	3.390	0.03
Physical Health	Increased Storm Activity			41	2.240	1.200	4.200	0.01
Zika Infection	Info Source: Family			28	2.320	1.010	5.330	0.05
Zika Infection	Info Source: TV		•	29	3.160	1.050	9.490	0.04
Zika Infection	Increased Ventilation			19	2.390	1.110	5.110	0.03
Zika Infection	Less Time Spent Outdoors		·	24	3.100	1.360	7.080	0.01
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		I				

Figure 2b.	Odds of Disease or De	ficit pe	er Adaptive Capacity I	ter	ns (<i>l</i>	Adjus	sted)	
	Odd	is Ratio	(OR) and 95% Cl					
Health De	terminant Factor			n	OR	LCL	UCL	pvalue
Asthma	Age: Minors vs. Adults			14	6.600	1.840	23.71	0.00
Chikungunya	Info Source: School			44	2.630	1.290	5.370	0.01
Dehydration	Decreased Rainfall			36	3.050	1.070	8.690	0.04
Diet/Nutrition	Increased Storm Activity			41	2.380	1.100	5.180	0.03
Mental Health	Proximity to low-lying area		- • -	47	1.910	1.050	3.470	0.03
Zika Infection	Increased Ventilation			19	2.490	1.050	5.900	0.04
Zika Infection	Less Time Spent Outdoors			24	3.420	1.360	8.620	0.01
		0.1	1 10					

References

- 1. Finlayson, C M, 2016. Climate Change: United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) and Intergovernmental Panel for Climate Change (IPCC), The Wetland Book. Springer Netherlands, pp. 1-5.
- 2. Füssel, H-M, 2009. An updated assessment of the risks from climate change based on research published since the IPCC Fourth Assessment Report. Climatic Change 97:469-482.
- 3. IPCC, 2007. Climate Change 2007: Synthesis Report. Contribution of Working Groups I, II and III to the Fourth Assessment- Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, in: Pachauri R K a R, A. (Ed.): IPCC, Geneva, Switzerland, p. 104.
- 4. IPCC, 2014. Working Group II: Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability; Small Islands;. Cambridge University Press
- 5. Thornes, J E, 2002. IPCC, 2001: Climate change 2001: impacts, adaptation and vulnerability, Contribution of Working Group II to the Third Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, edited by J. J. McCarthy, O. F.

Canziani, N. A. Leary, D. J. Dokken a. International Journal of Climatology 22:1285-1286.

6. Ebi, K L, 2016. Climate Change Adaptation, Presentation, Yale School of Public Health

Acknowledgements: Gratitude to members of the Bell Research Group, Yale School of Public Health, St. Kitts Ministry of Sustainable Development, St. Kitts-Nevis Ministry of Environment, St. Kitts Department of Agriculture, Holistic Education Research and Conservation (St. Kitts), Clarence Fitzroy Bryant College, people of St. Kitts-Nevis

